

241.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.6989 of 2014

Date of decision:03.11.2015

Ranjna Sharma and others

.... Petitioners

versus

Sita Rani and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Arun Singal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Namit Gautam, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Ritesh Kumar Bansal, Advocate,
for respondent No.4.

K.Kannan, J. (*Oral*)

1. The order passed is required to be set aside since admittedly the only immovable property is situate in Panchkula outside the jurisdiction of the court at Chandigarh. The plaint is ordered to be returned for presentation before the competent court of jurisdiction at Panchkula. On such presentation, there can be no objection taken with reference to the jurisdiction of the court at Panchkula for all the reliefs asked in the plaint.

2. Civil revision is allowed and ordered as above.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

03.11.2015

sanjeev