

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.7250 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision:31.10.2015

Bal Kishan

... Petitioner

versus

Ramesh Kumar and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

CM No.23231-CII of 2015

Application is allowed, as prayed for.

Civil Revision No.7250 of 2015

1. The grievance of the petitioner is that the evidence was closed even when two witnesses had come to court and offered their examination in chief by affidavits. They were not cross-examined and the side was closed.
2. I dispense with notice to the respondents only having regard to the fact that issuance of notice will further delay the matter and set aside the order and allow for the evidence to be brought by the defendant and the court will dispose of the case in accordance with law.

3. The revision petitioner has a grievance that all the witnesses have not been allowed to be summoned and brought to court. The court must take a realistic view and give a liberty to parties to decide on the quality of evidence that they want to produce in court and I will make this observation particularly noting the fact that the suit is relatively filed within 2 years and hence may extend adequate time so that all the witnesses as desired by parties are brought within the time which is possible in the normal course of court's functioning.

4. The revision petition is allowed.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

31.10.2015
sanjeev