

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Civil Revision No. 6955 of 2014

DATE OF DECISION : December 01, 2015

Gurcharan Singh

..... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

Resham Lal

.... RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. C.L. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. R.C. Sharma, Advocate, for the respondent.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Vide order being assailed, dated 04.08.2014, rendered by Rent Controller, Nakodar, tenant-respondent had since been granted leave to contest the proceedings, initiated by the landlord-petitioner, under section 13-B of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949. Ex-facie, the order under challenge was passed more than a year ago i.e. on 04.08.2014 and no interim order was passed by this Court staying the proceedings before the Rent Controller.

Having argued the matter at length, learned counsel for the parties have reached a consensus that let the tenant-respondent contest the eviction petition on merits, pursuant to the order under challenge, but the Rent Controller be directed to decide the eviction petition within a specified time.

That being so, the revision petition is disposed of with a direction to the Rent Controller to consider and decide the eviction petition within a period of 3 months from the receipt of certified copy of this order. Needless to assert, Rent Controller shall formulate a requisite schedule as regards the filing of written statement, replication, if any, issues and the opportunity to the parties to lead their respective evidence to ensure that the eviction petition is decided within the time specified by this court.

DECEMBER 01, 2015
Kang

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE