

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR NO.6949 OF 2014 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 10th FEBRUARY, 2015**

Satpal

.... Petitioner

Versus

Hazara Singh & another

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

* * * *

Present : Mr. Aalok Jagga, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. S. S. Swaich, Advocate for respondent.

* * * *

SURINDER GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

CM-3021-C-II-2015

The application is allowed and counter affidavit of respondent no.2 is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

CR NO.6949 OF 2014

1. Heard.
2. Learned counsel for the revision petitioner submits that he does not press revision petition on merit. The revision petitioner is carrying on business in the demised premises and requires some time to vacate and find alternate accommodation. He seeks nine months' time to vacate and handover the vacant possession of the demised premises to the respondents-landlord.
3. The request made by learned counsel for the revision petitioner is accepted and learned counsel for the respondents, under the

instruction of respondents present in Court, states that he has no objection if the time of nine months is allowed to the revision petitioner.

4. In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn. However, the revision petitioner is allowed period upto 12.11.2015 to vacate and hand over the vacant possession of the demised premises to the respondents-landlord, subject to the following terms:-

(i) The petitioner-tenant will pay the entire due rent upto 28th February 2015, within two weeks.

(ii) He will keep on paying/depositing the advance rent/mesne profits of subsequent months upto 12.11.2015 on or before 7th day of each month.

(iii) He will file affidavit before the executing Court, within three weeks giving details of entire payment of rent/mesne profits and undertaking to vacate and hand over the vacant possession of the demised premises to the respondents-landlord on or before 12.11.2015.

5. Default of compliance of any of the above terms will allow the respondents to seek the execution of order of ejectment forthwith.

6. The order has been passed in the absence of respondents to avoid unnecessary delay and expenses. A copy of this order be conveyed to the respondent. In the event of respondent having any objection, he may file a petition to that effect. On receipt of the petition, the same be listed for hearing.

10th February, 2015
'raj'

(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE