

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

122

**Civil Revision No.7230 of 2015
Date of Decision:30.10.2015**

Parvinder Singh

....petitioner

Versus

Narinder Kaur

.....respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

- 1.Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr.J.S.Cooner, Advocate
for the petitioner

ARUN PALLI, J.(ORAL):-

Vide order, being assailed, dated 05.10.2015, rendered by District Judge (Family Court), Ambala, the prayer advanced by the petitioner to allow his special power of attorney for recording joint motion statement with co-petitioner, has since been declined.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the reason assigned by the Court, in support of its order is; ***However, her presence was not considered to be necessary by the Hon'ble Andhra Pradesh High Court in view of the affidavit filed by her, which was sworn in London and attested by a Notary, confirming the contents of the petition requested to allow the appeal by dissolving the marriage between the parties. But the instant petition is based on different facts, in which there is lacking of any affidavit of co-petitioner Parvinder Singh***

confirming thereby the contents of the petition.”

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits, that he be permitted to withdraw the petition, so as to enable the petitioner to furnish his affidavit, confirming the contents of the petition preferred under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

30.10.2015

neenu

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**