

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Civil Revision No.7198 of 2015 (O&M)

DATE OF DECISION : October 30, 2015

Gurbachan Singh

..... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

Madan Lal Bhandari

.... RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

...

1. Whether Reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment? YES/NO
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? YES/NO
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest? YES/NO

...

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

CM-23130-CII-2015

There is a delay of 87 days in filing the accompanying revision petition.

For the reasons set out in the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit, the delay of 87 days in filing the accompanying petition, is condoned.

The application is, accordingly, allowed.

Civil Revision No.7198 of 2015 (O&M)

Having argued the matter at some length, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that let the petitioner be only afforded three months time to vacate the premises in question as he does not wish to press the petition on merits.

That being so, without issuing any formal notice to the respondent, to avert any further delay and the expenses that shall have to be incurred by the respondent to defend these proceedings, the revision petition is disposed of in the following terms :-

1. the petitioner shall continue to occupy the demised premises for a period of three months from today i.e. up to 29.01.2016;
2. petitioner shall defray the water and electricity charges for the period he will occupy the demised premises;
3. petitioner shall vacate the demised premises and hand over actual physical and vacant possession, free from all incumbrances, to the respondent-landlord on or before the stipulated date, failing which respondent would be free to execute the order of eviction and obtain possession forthwith; and
4. petitioner shall furnish an undertaking in the form of an affidavit, in the above terms, with the executing court, within a period of two weeks from

today, failing which, again, respondent would be free to execute the order of eviction.

OCTOBER 30, 2015
Kang

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE