

**123 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.7193 of 2015

Date of Decision: November 17, 2015

Rajender Singh Yadav

.... Petitioner

vs.

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. O.P. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 09.09.2015 passed by learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Gurgaon, vide which the application of the present petitioner for appointment of the Local Commissioner was dismissed.

The plaintiff wanted the appointment of the Local Commissioner to prepare the site plan; to determine the collection of record of C.A. Form No.21 and 22 related to the plaintiff's electricity record and the record of dismantling and sketching of map at the spot where the transformer was removed from the plaintiff's premises and further to report regarding the existing state of affairs.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, I am of the view that the site plan can be got prepared from a Draftsman by visiting the spot. The record of the electricity authorities can be

summoned to prove the facts contained therein. The Draftsman can also state the existing state affairs at the spot and mention the same in the site plan. Therefore, the lower court has rightly found that there is no need to appoint the Local Commissioner. Thus, there is no ground to interfere in the impugned order.

Hence, the present revision petition stands dismissed.

November 17, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE