

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.6967 of 2012 (O&M)

Date of decision : 15.10.2015

Sonia

...Petitioner

Versus

Raj Pal Singh

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.**

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Sudhir Paruthi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sunil Sihag, Advocate for the respondent.

\*\*\*\*

**AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)**

Mr. Sudhir Paruthi, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits, that revision petition has been rendered as infructuous as main petition under Section 13 of Hindu Marriage Act has been decided and grievance in the present revision petition is for enhancement of the maintenance pendente lite.

Disposed of as infructuous.

**15.10.2015**

*pawan*

**(AMIT RAWAL)  
JUDGE**