

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.7144 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision.28.10.2015**

Darshana Rani

.....Petitioner

Versus

Narain Dass and another

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Vijay Saini, Advocate for
Mr. Rajiv Kumar Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The revision petition is not competent. The appropriate remedy for the revision petitioner is to prefer an appeal against the order which was passed by the trial Court under Order 9 Rule 9 CPC by resort to provisions under Order 43 Rule 1 (c) CPC.
2. The revision petition is dismissed as incompetent.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

October 28, 2015
Pankaj*