

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.7137 of 2015
Date of decision: 28.10.2015

Manju

... Petitioner

Versus

Joginder Pal

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Nand Lal Sammi, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Having argued the matter at some length, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner be only afforded three months' time to vacate the demised premises, as she does not wish to press the petition on merits.

That being so, without issuance of notice to the respondent to avert any further delay and the expenses that he shall have to incur to defend these proceedings, the petition is disposed of in these terms:

i) petitioner-tenant shall vacate the demised premises and hand-over its actual physical and vacant possession to the respondent-landlord, on or before 28.01.2016, failing which respondent-landlord shall be free to

execute the order of eviction forthwith and obtain possession;
and

ii) petitioner-tenant shall furnish an undertaking in the above terms, by way of an affidavit, within a week from today, before the executing court, failing which again respondent-landlord shall be at liberty to execute the order of eviction forthwith and obtain actual physical possession.

October 28, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge