

**113 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.7123 of 2015

Date of Decision: October 21, 2015

Surender and others

.... Petitioners

vs.

Sadhu Ram and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Shalender Mohan, Advocate for the petitioners.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 21.05.2015 (Annexure P-4) and order dated 21.09.2015 (Annexue P-5) passed by learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Hansi, vide which the objection of the petitioners were dismissed and warrant of attachment of the property was issued.

It comes out that in the suit for specific performance, money decree was passed, which was modified by the Appellate Court. The plea of the objectors, who were defendants in the said decree, is that the interest @ 24% and 9% per annum granted by the court is quite excessive and rate of interest be modified @ 6% per annum.

I am of the view that the executing court cannot go behind the decree. The decree has now become final. Therefore, the objections were rightly dismissed and warrant of attachment of the property was correctly issued.

Accordingly, the present revision petition stands dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)

JUDGE

October 21, 2015

sarita