

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.712 of 2015

Date of decision : 28.08.2015

Shyam Lal Mittal

...Petitioner

Versus

Jagjit Singh and ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. V.K. Jindal, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Garima Jindal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhag Singh, Advocate for the respondent No.1.

Mr. Aman Pal, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 to 5.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Challenge in the present petition is to the impugned orders dated 06.12.2014 (Annexures P-7 & P-8), whereby application filed by the petitioner for not accepting the compromise has been rejected.

Both the counsel representing their respective parties are ad idem that impugned orders dated 06.12.2014 (Annexures P-7 & P-8) be set aside and the matter be remanded back to the Additional District Judge, Karnal for deciding the appeal on merits.

In view of statement made by the counsel representing their

respective parties, impugned orders dated 06.12.2014 (Annexures P-7 & P-8) are hereby set aside and matter is remanded back to Additional District Judge, Karnal for deciding the appeal on merits and CA No.60 of 2014/2011 is restored to its original number.

Additional District Judge, Karnal shall decide the appeal on merits in accordance with law.

The present civil revision petition stands disposed of.

28.08.2015

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**