

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

SR No.221-CR No.682-2014

Prem SagarPetitioner

vs.

Surinder SinghRespondent

AND

**SR No. 221/A-CR-655-2014
Date of Decision: 5.5.2015**

Prem SagarPetitioner

vs.

Surinder SinghRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgement should be reported in the Digest?*

Present: Mr.Avin Arora , Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr. Gurmeet Singh, Advocate
for the respondent.

K. KANNAN J.(Oral)

Since both these revision petitions are connected, they are being disposed of together.

Revision is incompetent in a case where suit has been disposed of. It is submitted by the petitioner that there is a second appeal pending against the decision in the suit. The request that the revision shall be taken along with the RSA, cannot be done.

Revision petitions are dismissed as being incompetent.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

5.5.2015
mamta