

110.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.7089 of 2015

Date of decision:21.10.2015

Inderjit Singh and another

... Petitioners

versus

Gurmail Singh and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Ishan Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. The nature of amendment brought at the fag end of trial are mere matters of evidence and if the court has allowed for exercise of discretion to admit the amendment, I would find no cause for intervention. I find no prejudice to arise by virtue of the amendment made. So long as there is no inconsistency or withdrawal of admission or a plea of bar of limitation, there is no reason why the defendant would feel himself aggrieved. The point that the amendment cannot be brought after the commencement of trial is well taken but if the exercise of discretion by the court below does not cause any specific prejudice, I am loathe to interfere with the same.

2. Revision petition is dismissed.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

21.10.2015

sanjeev