

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

118

**Civil Revision No.7048 of 2015(O & M)
Date of Decision:17.12.2015**

Harinder Ranapetitioner

Versus

Smt.Neharespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

- 1.Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr.Jagjeet Beniwal, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr.Amit Kumar Jain, Advocate for
Mr.S.K.Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the respondent

ARUN PALLI, J.(ORAL):-

Vide order, dated 10.09.2015 rendered by District Judge, Bhiwani, application moved by Dr.Sheetal, under Section 24 of Code of Civil Procedure, for transfer of her case titled Smt. Neha vs. Secretary and Financial Commissioner, Health Department, Haryana, Panchkula, pending in the Court of Sh.Vijayant Sehgal, Civil Judge (Senior Division), Bhiwani, had since been dismissed.

As the learned District Judge had also observed; “***...once Sh.Harinder Rana Advocate had accepted the brief from respondent No.5-applicant, he should have appeared before the court at the time of hearing on 16.12.2014 to cross examine the witnesses and by not appearing in the court despite receiving the brief and filing of power of attorney on behalf of applicant-***

respondent No.5 Smt.Sheetal, he has committed professional misconduct...” being aggrieved, counsel for Dr.Sheetal i.e.Harinder Rana, Advocate, is before this Court. It is maintained that it was purely on account of the ill health of the counsel, he could not appear in the matter and thus, it was wholly unwarranted for the Court to record that he was guilty of professional misconduct. Particularly, when he did not even attend the Court on that day. The assertions set out in the revision petition are duly supported by an affidavit of the petitioner. And as learned counsel for the respondent does not oppose the prayer set out in the revision petition, the same is accepted. Consequently, the remarks recorded against the petitioner are expunged from the order dated 10.09.2015.

17.12.2015

neenu

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**