

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 6848 of 2012 (O/M)
Date of decision : 22.9.2015

Sushma Revisionist
Versus

Babu Lal Sharma Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Jasuja, Advocate, for the revisionist.
Mr. Atul Goyal, Advocate, for,
Mr. Parvez Chugh, Advocate, for respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- .- -.-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned proxy counsel appearing for the learned counsel for respondent states that the interim maintenance was awarded on an application filed under Section 4 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 (in short 'the Act') in a petition under Section 13 of the Act. It is further contended that as per his instructions, the parties have compromised and are living together as husband and wife and the petition under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, has been withdrawn.

Learned counsel for revisionist states that the parties are not in touch with him and he is unable to affirm or deny the contention of the learned proxy counsel for respondent.

CR No. 6848 of 2012 (O/M)

It being so, in view of the statement of learned proxy counsel appearing for the learned counsel for respondent, the present revision is dismissed with liberty to the revisionist to get it revived, if there is no compromise, as stated by the learned proxy counsel appearing for the learned counsel for respondent.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

22.9.2015
sjks