

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR NO.6725 OF 2014 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 9th FEBRUARY, 2015**

Balwant Kaur & another

.... Petitioners

Versus

Ashok Kumar

.... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

* * * *

Present : Mr. Gurcharan Dass, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Raman Mohinder Sharma, Advocate for the respondent.

* * * *

SURINDER GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

CM-22542-CII-2014

The application is allowed and Annexures P-1 to P-3 are taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

CR NO.6725 OF 2014

1. Heard.
2. The evidence of revision petitioners was closed by order dated 15.09.2014 after giving ten effective opportunities to them.
3. Learned counsel for the revision petitioners submits that on 15.09.2014 Navneet Kaur, wife of Ramanjeet Singh, nephew of petitioner-Balwant Kaur was admitted in the hospital and gave birth to a child on the same date. Due to this the petitioners could not appear in the Court to produce the evidence. Learned counsel for the revision petitioners undertakes that in the event of one more opportunity being allowed, the petitioners will conclude their evidence on that day at their own responsibility.

4. Learned counsel for respondent submits that more than ten opportunities were already allowed to the petitioners, including last opportunity, as such, the petitioners are not entitled to any further opportunity in this case.

5. No doubt the petitioners, who are landlord, have already been allowed sufficient opportunities but keeping in view the reason, which is supported by the documents (Annexure P- 2 and P-3) one more opportunity is allowed to the revision petitioners to produce their evidence, subject to the payment of ₹10,000/- as costs which shall be deposited with the Punjab State Legal Service Authority.

6. The Rent Controller will take up the file on 20.02.2015. Both the parties will appear on that day and on deposit of the costs, one effective opportunity will be allowed to the petitioners to produce the entire remaining evidence at their own responsibility.

7. The revision petition stands disposed of accordingly with direction as aforesaid.

9th February, 2015
'raj'

(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE