

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No. 6682 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision : February 05, 2015

Hanuman Parshad

... Petitioner

vs.

Jai Raj and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: Mr. M.K. Bhatnagar, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr. S.M. Tripathi, Advocate
for the respondents.

Surinder Gupta, J

This revision petition is against the order dated 12.9.2014 whereby application of the revision petitioner seeking one opportunity to lead evidence was declined.

The respondent had filed a petition under Section 13 of East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949 seeking ejectment of revision petitioner in which, vide order dated 11.3.2014, evidence of revision petitioner was closed by order.

Learned counsel for respondent submits that he has no objection if revision petitioner is allowed one opportunity to lead evidence subject to heavy costs. He submits that ejectment petition was filed in the year 2009 and the revision petitioner-respondent is delaying the disposal of this petition un-necessarily and has also not paid rent as he has denied relationship of landlord and tenant.

Without going into merits of the case, the revision petition is allowed and the learned Rent Controller is directed to allow one opportunity to the revision petitioner to lead evidence subject to payment of ₹10,000 by way of demand draft to the respondent/petitioner-Urmila. In the event of evidence being not produced on the adjourned date, the revision petitioner will not be allowed any further adjournment to lead evidence.

Copy of this order be given dasti under the signature of Bench

Secretary.

(Surinder Gupta)
Judge

February 05, 2015
deepak