

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.6867 of 2013(O&M)
Date of decision: 16.09.2015

Naresh Enterprises and another

... Petitioners

Versus

Radhey Sham

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Anuj Raura, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Aman Bahri, Advocate for the respondent.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

As the Civil Revision No.5813 of 2015, against the order of eviction passed by the Rent Controller and affirmed by the Appellate Authority, inter se the parties, and qua the demised premises, is also listed for today, learned counsel for the parties submitted that even the matter in hand be disposed of along with the said civil revision. Accordingly, the record was requisitioned. Hearing of the petition is preponed to today and the petition is taken on board.

Vide order dated 13.11.2013, this court by an interim arrangement had fixed the mesne profits @ ₹ 5,000/- per month. Learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that petitioners-tenants shall pay arrears of mesne profits, from the

date of passing of the order of eviction till the decision of appellate authority i.e. 01.07.2015, @ ₹ 5,000/- per month. Accordingly, the order dated 07.10.2013 is modified and mesne profits are assessed @ ₹ 5,000/- per month.

The petition stands disposed of.

September 16, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge