

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.6857 of 2013

Date of Decision.07.04.2015

Satpal son of Sarup Chand

.....Petitioner

Versus

Kirna Devi wife of Labhu Ram

.....Respondent

Present: Mr. Rajender Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. P.S. Dhailwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

None for the respondent.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-. -

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The petition is against the interim order of injunction by a direction for preservation of status quo. The Trial Court has granted the interim relief by considering the plaintiff's case and on a consideration of the reports given by the commissioner. The property claimed by the plaintiff is one acquired in exchange with the defendant. The defendant was denying the plaintiff's user of the property as passage. The Court was of the view that in the light of the commissioner's report and the submissions made by the plaintiff that the defendant had right of user of the suit property for ingress and egress, directed the preservation of the status quo and ensure that such a relief would not still affect the defendant's user of the property. He only directed that there shall be no alteration of the property and preserved the status quo to be

maintained.

2. I will find no scope for intervention. The order passed is maintained and the civil revision is dismissed.

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE

April 07, 2015
Pankaj*