

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.6933 of 2015

Date of decision: 16.10.2015

Ranjodh Singh

...Petitioner

versus

Jasbir Kaur

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Amandeep Singh Manaise, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI, J.

Challenge in this petition is to the order dated 03.07.2015 (Annexure P-5) passed by the Additional District Judge, Gurdaspur, whereby pursuant to an application under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, the petitioner-husband has been directed to pay interim maintenance to the tune of Rs.3000/- per month to Jasbir Kaur-wife (respondent herein) and her minor daughter along with litigation expenses of Rs.5000/-.

While passing the impugned order, it has been observed that in a petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C., minor child has been granted maintenance of Rs.1500/- per month.

The petitioner claimed that neither he has any land in his name nor he is doing any business of dairy farming. But, he is not disputing the maintenance which has been granted to the minor child. However, with regard to Jasbir Kaur-wife, he states that she is working as an Anganwari Worker, therefore, she can maintain herself.

The object of grant of interim maintenance under Section 24 of

the Hindu Marriage Act is to maintain the wife and child during the pendency of litigation. Monthly income of the petitioner has been assessed as Rs.10,000/- per month. Both the parties will lead their respective evidence before the trial Court, on the basis of which, correct income of the petitioner will be assessed.

At this stage, no ground is made out to interfere in the impugned order.

Dismissed.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

16.10.2015
ajp