

**111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.6927 of 2015

Date of Decision: October 16, 2015

M/s. Harsha Associates Pvt. Ltd.

.. Petitioner

vs.

S.D.O.(Operation), U.H.B.V.N. Limited and others .. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Vijay Pal, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 29.09.2015 (Annexure P-5) passed by learned Addl. District Judge, Karnal, whereby the lower court has dismissed the prayer of the petitioner for extension of time for depositing the amount of Rs.4,22,233/-.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have also carefully gone through the case file.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was directed by the lower court to deposit the amount of Rs.4,22,233/- within seven days from the date of order dated 30.07.2015.

It is stated that the amount was not deposited within the prescribed period. On 11.08.2015, when the demand draft was presented, the same was refused. The application of the petitioner for extension of time for depositing the amount in question was dismissed.

In the circumstances, without issuing notice to the opposite party, the demand draft is ordered to be deposited within one week from the date of passing of this order.

Accordingly, the present revision petition stands allowed.

Photocopy of this order be given to the learned counsel for the petitioner under the signatures of the Bench Secretary.

For order of restoration of the electricity, the petitioner is left to approach the lower court.

October 16, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE