

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No. 6813 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of decision: 20.08.2015

Gurjatinder Singh @ Miki Sardar

.....Petitioner

versus

Baljit Singh

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.S.S.Sodhi, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.K.S.Rekhi, Advocate for the respondent

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 2.8.2013, passed by the learned Civil Judge, Junior Division, Ropar, vide which for non-filing of written statement, defence of the defendant-petitioner was struck off. Lower Court has noticed that written statement has not been filed for the last more than three months.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, I am of the view that this Court while issuing notice of motion has already ordered the petitioner to deposit Rs.10,000/- as litigation expenses, which have been deposited. Therefore, the interest of justice requires that petitioner/defendant should be allowed to defend the case.

In such circumstances, the impugned order is set aside

and petitioner/defendant is granted one more opportunity to file the written statement within 15 days from the date of passing of this order. The litigation expenses deposited by the petitioner before this Court be sent to the Legal Services Committee of this Court to be utilized in the legal aid funds.

With aforesaid directions, the revision stands allowed.

20.08.2015

gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge