

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 6613 of 2014
Date of Decision : 05.02.2015

Surinder Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

Amit Kumar @ Monti and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.P. NAGRATH

1. *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?*

Present: Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.K. Garg Narwana, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Teevar Sharma, Advocate
for the respondents.

R.P. Nagrath, J. (Oral)

This revision has been filed against the order of the trial Court feeling aggrieved against the refusal to grant the *ad interim* injunction. However, the matter was still pending at the stage of filing the written statement as well as reply to the application for temporary injunction.

The Appellate Court dismissed the appeal being not maintainable, as the application for temporary injunction was still pending. Learned counsel for the parties have submitted that pleadings of the parties are complete before the trial Court.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and in view of the above, the instant petition is disposed of with a

direction to the learned trial Court to decide the application for temporary injunction within a period of one week from 09.02.2015, the date already fixed before it.

Till 09.02.2015, the parties are directed not to raise any construction over the site in dispute. The interim order of protection upto 09.02.2015 shall however not have any bearing on the merits of the application for temporary injunction.

A copy of this order be sent to the trial Court by **fax**.

February 05, 2015
jk

(R.P. NAGRATH)
JUDGE