

117

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.6894 of 2015
Date of Decision: 06.11.2015**

BALBIR SINGH

.....Petitioner

Vs

HARDIAL SINGH AND ORS.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

[1]. This revision petition is directed against the order dated 27.08.2015 passed by Additional Civil Judge (Sr. Division) Phul, vide which prayer of the petitioner for absolving his 1/3rd liability towards decretal amount has been dismissed.

[2]. Admittedly judgment-debtors have joint and several liability to pay the decretal amount. The suit was decreed by the trial Court and said decree was affirmed right upto the stage of Regular Second Appeal.

[3]. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is liable to pay 1/3rd of the decretal amount of total payable amount as on date and he is ready to pay that amount to the decree-

holder in Court.

[4]. Having considered the submission, this Court is not in a position to accept the offer. Decree was joint and several against all the judgment-debtors. One of the judgment-debtors would be at liberty to make good the entire payment subject to *inter se* recovery from other partners.

[5]. In considered opinion of this Court, there is no jurisdictional error in the impugned order, nor it has been shown as to how the joint and several liability can be bifurcated in the manner as suggested by the petitioner.

[6]. In view of above, no interference is called for in the impugned order. Consequently, the revision petition is dismissed.

November 06, 2015

Atik

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE