

**242 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.6599 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision: August 27, 2015**

Rajender Kumar

.... Petitioner

vs.

Sapna Agastya and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Atul Lakhanpal, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Jasmeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Dr. Surya Parkash, Advocate for respondent No.1.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 29.08.2014 passed by learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Ratia, vide which the application of the petitioner-defendant for setting aside the ex parte proceedings against him, was dismissed with liberty to join the proceeding with regard to cross-examination of the plaintiffs' witnesses and for arguments.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have also carefully gone through the case file.

It comes out from the impugned order that the petitioner-defendant had put in appearance on 15.09.2012 and his counsel had sought several adjournments for filing written statement. On 11.01.2013, none appeared and consequently, ex parte proceedings were initiated.