

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.6868 of 2015(O&M)
Date of decision: 17.11.2015

Amrik Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Tejinder Kaur Bains alias Tejinder

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Mansur Ali, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate for the respondent.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Having argued the matter at some length, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he be permitted to withdraw the petition, as the petitioner does not wish to pursue the matter any further. However, he submits that the time to vacate and handover the vacant possession of the premises be extended by three months, for in terms of the order passed by the appellate authority, dated 02.07.2015, he is required to surrender possession by 30.04.2016.

Learned counsel for the respondent does not oppose this submission and rather submits that let the time to vacate the premises be extended by three months.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

However, in the wake of the consensus that has been reached between the learned counsel for the parties, the time to vacate the demised premises is extended by three months i.e. w.e.f. 30.04.2016 to 30.07.2016, subject to filing of the affidavit, in these terms, by the petitioner-tenant before the appellate authority within a week from today.

November 17, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge