

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.6841 of 2015

Date of decision:14.10.2015

Bimla Devi

... Petitioner

versus

Sat Narain and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

K.Kannan, J. (*Oral*)

Only the 2nd plaintiff is the petitioner before this court. The plaintiff, who obtained an order of status quo relating to a building said to have been left behind by the father Nand Kishore, suffered a reversal of the interim order at the appellate court that allowed for the construction or additions which are made by the purchasers from the plaintiff's brothers. The plaintiff is aggrieved that the defendant being the purchaser was putting up construction. I asked the counsel whether the plaintiff herself is in actual possession of the property. He states that she is married and living with her husband. The lower court was justified in modifying the order and allowing for construction to be made, judging the fact that their vendor had been in possession of the property and protected

the plaintiff to the extent of providing that in the event of the plaintiff succeeding, the purchaser will not claim any equity. I find no modification is called for. Revision petition is dismissed.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

14.10.2015
sanjeev