

**236 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.6470 of 2014
Date of Decision: August 31, 2015**

Bhagwan Singh

.... Petitioner

vs.

Gurcharan Singh and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. D.S. Malwai, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Rattan, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr. Sunil Chadha, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Pallavi Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2.

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 11.09.2014 (Annexure P-4) passed by learned Addl. District Judge, Sangrur, vide which the application filed by the respondent-defendant for taking photographs by expert Dr. Inderjit Singh for comparison of the signatures of the Bhagwan Singh was allowed.

It was stated by the defendant-applicant that Bhagwan Singh had denied his signatures on the power of attorney given by Gurdial Singh in favour of Bhagwan Singh.

During the course of arguments, it comes out that the application was filed only for inspecting the file and taking the photographs by the expert. However, no permission was sought to

examine the expert. Therefore, mere inspection of the file and taking photographs will not serve any purpose.

Faced with these circumstances, learned counsel for the respondent has stated that his application may be treated as withdrawn with liberty to file a comprehensive application for inspection of the file, taking the photographs and also permitting to examine the expert.

Accordingly, the present revision petition is dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

August 31, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE