

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
255 Civil Revision No.6639 of 2013
Date of Decision:21.04.2015

Gandarav Senpetitioner

Versus

Smt.Amrit KaurRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.KANNAN.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes

Present: Nemo for the petitioner.

Ms.Jyotika, Advocate,
for Ms.Deepali Puri, Advocate,
for the respondent.

K.KANNAN, J.(oral)

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent states that the matter has been compromised between the parties. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. The petition is dismissed, taking note of the submission of the learned counsel for the respondent. The petitioner may apply to the Court for review, if what is stated by the respondent is not correct.

April 21, 2015
seema

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE