

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

144

Civil Revision No.6720 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: October 19, 2015

Baljeet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Gurnam Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Sanjeev K. Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioner does not press the revision petition on merits and states that three months' time may be granted to vacate the premises in question.

In view of the above, this revision petition stands dismissed on merits as the counsel has not argued the same and has conceded that the petitioner would need some time to settle himself as he has been working in the said premises since long. However, as per the statement made by the counsel for the petitioner, three months' time is granted to the petitioner to vacate the premises, if he is in possession, subject to his furnishing an undertaking in this regard before the Rent Controller, Gurdaspur, within a period of two weeks from today that he shall hand over the vacant possession of the demised premises to the respondent on or before 31.01.2016 and that he shall also pay the rent on or before 7th day of each calendar month. This would be further subject to payment of arrears of rent till date, if any due, within a period of two weeks from today.

In the light of the dismissal of the petition itself, the application

for stay i.e. CM No.21700-CII of 2015, stands disposed of as infructuous.

October 19, 2015
Puneet

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE