

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

* * * * *

CR No. 6701 of 2015

Date of decision : October 08, 2015

* * * * *

Sohan Lal Chhabra

.....Petitioner

Versus

Raj Kumar

.....Respondent

* * * * *

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

* * * * *

Present: Mr. Maninder Singh Bajwa, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * * * *

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?*

RITU BAHRI, J

The petitioner has come up in revision petition against the order dated 17.8.2015 (Annexure P-5) vide which an application made by the petitioner under Section 24 read with Section 151 CPC for transfer of all the three petitions filed under Section 13 Act III of 1949 to one and same Court has been dismissed.

The petitioner is aged 84 years and has filed three ejectment petitions against his three tenants under Section 13 Act III of 1949. In the application filed under Section 24 read with Section 151 CPC, he prayed for transfer of all the three petitions to one and the same Court so as to save the precious time of the Court and to

avoid conflicting judgments.

This application has been dismissed by the trial Court by observing in paragraph 5 of the order that all the three parties (tenants/respondents) in all the ejectment petitions were different. Moreover, the demised premises were different and the cause of eviction was separate in all the three ejectment petitions.

After going through the case, I find that the trial Court has rightly dismissed the aforesaid application as the evidence of all the three ejectment petitions have to be separate and no ground was made out to transfer them to one Court.

In view of all that has been discussed above, present revision petition is dismissed.

October 08, 2015
ritu

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE