

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 658 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of decision: May 21, 2015

Gulab Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Satbir and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. **Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Lajpat Sharma, Advocate, for
Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anurag Jain, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

The order granting permission to lead additional evidence is subject to challenge in this revision. There is simply no merit in entertaining or allowing for pendency of such a case. I decline the plea for adjournment, which is made by the counsel and find the revision vexatious and it is dismissed.

May 21, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE