323 CR No. 4020 of 2001 and RSA No. 1338 of 1999 (O&M)

State of Haryana Vs. Mussadi Lal

***

Present: Mr. G.W. Wasu, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Shailendra Jain, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Mannu Chaudhary, Advocate for the respondent.

***

1.Whether Lower Appellate Court was justified in reversing the judgment of the trial Court as regards the validity of the findings in the enquiry on cogent reasons given that the plaintiff delinquent had a full opportunity to state his case and participated in person before the Enquiry Officer.

2.Whether the plaintiff could assail the punishment awarded by the Appellate authority without proving substantial prejudice, especially when the plaintiff came by relief of reduction of punishment from dismissal to stoppage of increments cumulatively.

3.Whether the absence of personal hearing not necessary before Appellate authority when the prejudice shown by the plaintiff was only denial of 5 increments cumulatively.

Adjourned to 08.04.2015, for arguments.

Photocopy of the order be placed on the file of connected case.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

01.04.2015
kv

VERMA KUMUD
2015.04.07 12:33
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document
High Court Chandigarh