

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.6642 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 10.12.2015.**

Sandeep Kumar ...Petitioner

Versus

Smt. Meenu Rani and another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the present revision is the order dated 04.11.2014, passed by the learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Mewat, vide which while disposing of the application of the defendant under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC for rejection of the plaint on the ground that ad valorem Court fee has not been paid, the Lower Court decided to frame the issue regarding the ad valorem Court fee and it was ordered that the same will be decided after leading the evidence by both the parties.

I find no illegality in the order. The question of ad valorem Court fee is still open. No ground to interfere in the impugned order.

The present revision stands dismissed.

December 10, 2015
Ankur

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**