
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.6637 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 03.11.2015.**

Tek Chand Sharma @ Tikaram

...Petitioner

Versus

Suresh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Petitioner in person.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Challenged in the present revision petition is the order dated 17.08.2015, passed by learned Additional District Judge, Palwal, affirming the order dated 28.05.2014, passed by Civil Judge, Junior Division, Hodal, vide which the application of the petitioner-appellant for grant of ad interim injunction was dismissed on the ground that Civil Court does not have the jurisdiction.

I have heard the petitioner who is appearing in person and have also examined the impugned orders.

Even before this Court, the petitioner has conceded that it being the agricultural land, the partition application was filed before

the Revenue Court and the same has been dismissed and appeal has been preferred which is lying pending. His grouse is that the Revenue Court does not have the jurisdiction to grant the ad interim injunction, therefore, the civil suit has been filed.

I am of the view that while deciding the partition application, the Revenue Court has all the powers of the Civil Court, which include the power to grant ad interim injunction. Once the Revenue Court is seized of the matter, the temporary injunction was rightly declined by the Courts below.

Consequently, the present revision stands dismissed.

November 03, 2015
Ankur

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE