

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 6446 of 2012 (O&M)
Date of decision: April 9, 2015

Prabhu Dayal and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Karan Singh alias Bhondu and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. **Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Sanjay Vij, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sudhir Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the respondents.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

1. Both the counsel have no objection that if the court fee is paid treating the property as agricultural land and the manner of assessment of valuation for the payment ad valorem court fee in in so far as there is prayer for joint possession of the property now in the hands of a stranger purchaser to the family.
2. The order already passed stands clarified that ad valorem court fee shall not be called on the valuation stated in the release deed but it shall be in the manner of reckoning of agricultural land, as per the notification issued by the Haryana Government.
3. The revision petition stands disposed of.

April 9, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

