

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 6476 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of decision: December 17, 2015

Subash Chander

...Petitioner

Versus

Smt. Aruna Rani and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ? Yes**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes**

Present: Mr. Sandeep Bansal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satbir Rathore, Advocate,
for the respondents.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondents states that the revision petition would require to be disposed of as having become infructuous, in view of the fact that the revision is against the interim order and the suit itself has been disposed of.

The revision petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

December 17, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE