

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

137

**Civil Revision No.6554 of 2015(O&M)
Date of decision: 05.10.2015**

Anju Bala

.... Petitioner

Versus

Raj Kumari and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Present: Mr. Sushant Kohli, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

In reference to the decision rendered by this Court in ***Civil Revision No.3290 of 2014***, titled "Ajay Partap Singh vs. Gurdial Singh and others", decided on 13.05.2015, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he be permitted to withdraw the revision petition, so as to enable the petitioner to file an appeal before the Appellate Authority against the order that has been assailed.

It is submitted that as 15 days' time was granted to the petitioner by this Court, in the aforesaid matter, to file an appeal, the petitioner too shall be given two weeks' time to avail the remedy by way of an appeal. Further, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was granted time to tender provisional assessed rent up to 05.10.2015 i.e. today and, in case of non deposit of the

said amount, he shall suffer an irreparable loss. Accordingly, he contends that operation of the order dated 20.08.2015, be stayed for a period of one week from today.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file an appeal within a period of two weeks from today. However, operation of the order dated 20.08.2015, rendered by Rent Controller, Jalandhar, shall remain stayed for a period of one week from today, only, and during this period Rent Controller shall not pass the order of ejectment for non-compliance of provisional rent and thereafter, the appellate authority shall decide the application for stay, if any, moved by the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

05.10.2015

neenu

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE