

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 6541 of 2015

Date of decision-05.10.2015

Bhag Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Lachman & others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Arihant Jain, Advocate with
Mr. Arun Jindal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, JUDGE

[1] This is a revision petition filed by the plaintiff. The suit was dismissed by the trial Court while allowing the counter-claim filed by the defendant on 24.01.2013. Two appeals were filed by the plaintiffs and both were dismissed. After dismissal of appeal by the Lower Appellate Court, two regular second appeals were filed which have been dismissed vide judgment of the even date. In execution of decree passed in counter-claim, objections have been filed by the judgment debtor.

[2] Since no stay has been granted in regular second appeals Executing Court is bound to execute the decree. The additional objection which is sought to be raised in the context of alleged relationship of landlord-tenant is totally misplaced in the light of observations made in the judgment and decree, dismissing the regular second appeal. The fact was duly noticed by the Civil Courts while dismissing the suit as well as the appeal before the Lower

Appellate Court and the counter-claim was lawfully decreed. No ambiguity can be found in the impugned order passed by the Executing Court, therefore, this revision petition is totally bereft of merit and the same is accordingly dismissed.

October 05, 2015

vanita

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**