

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.6251 of 2014
Date of decision : 17.08.2015**

Dharam Singh ...Petitioner

versus

Ramotar ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. S.K.Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate for the respondent.

RITU BAHRI, J (Oral)

Counsel for the petitioner has stated that vide order dated 05.09.2014 an application made by the plaintiff for providing police help was allowed for implementing the order dated 10.07.2014. The plaintiff apprehended that despite order dated 10.07.2014, the defendant had violated the order dated 10.07.2014 and making construction on the land and the application was allowed on 05.09.2014. The defendant Dharam Singh came up in revision in this Court and on 16.09.2014 operation of the impugned order dated 05.09.2014 was stayed.

Counsel for the petitioner has stated that he has completed the construction on his own portion and hence the impugned order stands rendered infructuous.

Keeping in view that the dispute is between two real brothers and at this stage no construction is in progress, this revision is being disposed of by observing that the petitioner will be bound by the statement made on 10.07.2014 subject to final decision of the suit/partition proceedings.

17.08.2015
Vinay

**(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE**