

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.6531 of 2015(O&M)
Date of decision: 16.10.2015

Seeta Sardana and another

... Petitioners

Versus

Charanjit Kaur

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Gurcharan Dass, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Rajesh Sood, Advocate for the respondent.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

On October 5, 2015, this court had passed the following order:

“Contents that the agreed rent of the demised premises was ₹ 2,000/- per month and, thus, the assessment of mesne profits at the rate of ₹ 30,000/- per month, is harsh, excessive and punitive in nature. It is contended that the petitioners are ready and willing to pay ₹ 20,000/- per month by way of mesne profits.

Notice of motion for 16.10.2015.

Process dasti as well with a liberty to serve the counsel for the respondent before the Appellate Authority.

In the meanwhile, petitioners shall deposit arrears, after adjusting the rent already paid, as

also the future mesne profits at the rate of ` 20,000/- per month.

To be shown in the Urgent List.”

Having argued the matter at some length, learned counsel for the parties, on instructions, submit that let mesne profits be fixed @ ₹ 20,000/- per month, payable from the date of the order of eviction i.e. 21.05.2015. And, the appellate authority be directed to decide the appeal within a specified time.

That being so, the order dated 14.09.2015, rendered by the appellate authority, is modified and the mesne profits are fixed @ ₹ 20,000/- per month, payable from the date of the order of eviction i.e. 21.05.2015. Learned Appellate Authority is requested to decide the appeal on the date already fixed i.e. 08.12.2015 or maximum within a period of one month thereafter.

Revision petition is disposed of in the above terms.

October 16, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge