SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
2015.10.09 16:17
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 6529 of 2015 (O/M)
Date of decision : 5.10.2015

Sohan Singh ..... Revisionist

Versus

Suhel Singh and others ..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Amit Kumar Saini, Advocate, for the revisionist.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-.- -.-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Impugned in the present revision is the order dated 26.2.2015 (Annexure-P-2) and order dated 20.5.2015 (Annexure-P-7), passed by the learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Samrala, vide which the examination-in-chief of PW3 and PW4, was discarded on the ground that they have not appeared for their cross-examination.

The perusal of the order shows that the date fixed in the case was declared a holiday by this Court. When the case was taken up on the next day, the witnesses were not present and, therefore, their cross-examination was discarded.

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
2015.10.09 16:17
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

I am of the view that the witnesses were not notified to appear on the next working day. Therefore, the impugned order is set aside. The lower Court is directed to grant one more effective opportunity to produce PW3 and PW4 for their cross-examination.

The present revision is accordingly allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

5.10.2015
sjks