

224

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.6229 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: May 07, 2015

Nachhattar Kaur and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

Balwinder Singh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Sharma, Advocate
for the respondent Nos.1 and 2.

SABINA, J

Petitioners have filed this petition challenging the order dated 17.07.2014.

At the time of issuance of notice of motion, following order was passed:-

“Mr. Arvind Singh contents that when the appeal was preferred and both parties heard, operation of the judgment/decreed dated 28.2.2014 was stayed till 18.4.2014. Once the stay was granted after hearing both the parties, normally the rule laid down in Mool Chand Yadav and another v. Raza Buland Sugar Company; (1982) 3 SCC 484 should have been followed and the stay continued till final disposal of the appeal to avoid serious civil consequence.

Notice of motion, returnable on 12.12.2014.

Status quo is directed to be maintained with respect to the disputed property till further orders.”

Learned counsel for the respondent Nos.1 and 2

has submitted that they have no objection if the interim order granted by this Court vide order dated 16.09.2014 is made absolute during the pendency of the appeal before the First Appellate Court, but has further submitted that Appellate Court be directed to dispose of the appeal expeditiously.

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the respondent Nos.1 and 2, interim order granted by this Court vide order dated 16.09.2014 shall remain operational during the pendency of the appeal before the First Appellate Court. However, the First Appellate Court is directed to dispose of the appeal, expeditiously.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

May 07, 2015

m.singh