

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.6496 of 2015
Date of Decision: November 30, 2015

Shyam Lal Vidya Mandir Barsat, District Karnal, through Balbir Garg

...Petitioner

Versus

Chander Wati

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr.Parminder Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Sandeep Singh, Advocate &
Mr.Harish Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for the respondent.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Mr.Parminder Singh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the controversy involved in the present revision petition is squarely covered by the decision of this Court dated 5.10.2015 rendered in **Civil Revision No.6521 of 2015 (Smt.Geeta Rani Versus Sanjay Kumar & another).**

In view of the above, the impugned orders dated 27.7.2012 and 10.7.2015 are set-aside. The application seeking restoration of the suit is allowed and the suit is ordered to be restored.

Revision petition stands allowed.

November 30, 2015
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE