

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CR No.6488 of 2015
Date of decision: 01.10.2015

Gurmahesh Inder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Rashpal Kaur and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Rajesh Kumar Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

Vide order being assailed, dated 21.07.2015, learned trial court had since framed issue No.1A i.e. “**Whether will dated 26.01.1993 is genuine and valid document? OPD1**” Concededly, defendant No.1 had set up a Will dated 26.01.1993 and, thus, issue in this regard ought to have been framed as a finding thereon would have a decisive bearing on the decision in the suit.

That being so, no interference is warranted in exercise of revisional jurisdiction, under Article 227 of the Constitution of India. Petition being devoid of merit is accordingly dismissed.

October 1, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge