

111 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.6464 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: September 30, 2015

Arun Kumar

.... Petitioner

vs.

Vinay Kumar and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Puneet Jindal, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Varun Goyal and Ashwanie Bansal, Advocates
for the petitioner.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 08.09.2015 (Annexure P-5) passed in a review application and the order dated 30.07.2014 (Annexue P-4), vide which witness PW5 Davinder Kumar in cross examination was directed to bring the complete record from the bank pertaining to current account of the firms, M/s K.C. Verma & Co. and Verma Sports Industries as well as records regarding the saving account of Krishan Chander Verma and Ram Dulari Verma pertaining to encashment of DRC's and FDR's and further cross-examination was deferred. An application to review the said order was dismissed on 08.09.2015 (Annexure P-5).

I am of the view that the lower court is the best judge as to how the proceedings are to be conducted. During the cross-

examination, the said documents were produced. This court will not interfere in the said direction while exercising the revisional powers under Article 227 of the Constitution of India. Once this Court concludes not to interfere in the parent order dated 30.07.2014 (Annexure P-4), there is no ground to interfere in the review of the same.

Hence, dismissed.

September 30, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE