

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.6279 of 2012 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: September 22, 2015

Lakhwinder Singh @ Dalwinder Singh & others

...Petitioners

Versus

New India Assurance Company Ltd., Sirsa & others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE**

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Ms.Rupinder Thind, Advocate with  
Mr.Vishal Goel, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Ms.Vandana Malhotra, Advocate,  
for the respondent-Insurance Company.

Mr.Vikas Suri, Advocate,  
as Amicus Curiae.

\*\*\*\*\*

**AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)**

In view of the detailed order of even date passed in Civil Misc.No.24851-CII of 2013 in FAO No.5044 of 2008 (Lakhwinder Singh @ Dalwinder Singh and others Versus New India Assurance Company Ltd. & others), the present revision petition, which has been filed against the order dismissing the objections, has been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of as infructuous.

**September 22, 2015**  
**ramesh**

**( AMIT RAWAL )**  
**JUDGE**