

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.6156 of 2014
Date of Decision.27.04.2015

Major Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Darshan Singh

.....Respondent

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Sehgal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the matter has become infructuous in view of the fact that the judgment debtor has deposited the money due and no further steps are necessary.

2. The revision petition is dismissed as having become infructuous.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

April 27, 2015
Pankaj*