

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.644 of 2015

Date of Decision:28.01.2015

Amarjit Singh alias Amrik Singh

. . . . Petitioner

Vs.

Nirmala Rani and others

. . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr.Amit Dhawan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

The present revision petition is directed against the order dated 9.12.2014 by which appeal filed by the plaintiff has been disposed of.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the learned Court below has committed an error in allowing the defendants to install a tubewell and raise construction of kotha over the land in dispute which has not been partitioned so far. It is also submitted that the defendants are not agriculturists rather he is the agriculturist and there is already a tubewell in existence.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and after examining the record, am of the considered opinion that there is no error in the order of the Court below in view of the observations made in para No.9 of the impugned order.

Accordingly, the present revision petition is hereby dismissed.

**(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE**

28.01.2015

Vivek